arXiv:1410.7150v1 [math.CO] 27 Oct 2014

Counting numerical semigroups

E. Kunz and R. Waldi

Abstract. We are interested in formulas for the number of elements in certain classes of numerical semigroups

Key words. Numerical semigroup (symmetric, pseudo-symmetric, of maximal embedding dimension), Apéry set, genus, polyhedral cone, lattice point, quasi-polynomial, Ehrhart's theorem, generating function, lattice path.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 20M14, 05A15

1. Introduction

For an integer $p \geq 3$ let $\mathfrak{H}_p$ be the set of all numerical semigroups containing p. Using the notion of Apéry set one can construct a bijective map from $\mathfrak{H}_p$ onto the set of all lattice points of a certain polyhedral cone $C_p \subset \mathbb{R}^{p-1}$ of dimension $p-1$ ([Ku],[RGGB]). The lattice points in the interior C_p^0 of C_p are in one-to-one correspondence with the $H \in \mathfrak{H}_p$ of maximal embedding dimension p. Apéry's characterization of symmetric semigroups [A] allows to show that the symmetric $H \in \mathfrak{H}_p$ are mapped onto the lattice points of certain $\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor$-dimensional closed faces of C_p. Here we describe also the distribution of the lattice points corresponding to the pseudo-symmetric semigroups (Proposition 3.2). Further semigroups correspond to the intersection of C_p or its faces with hyperplanes, hence with the lattice points in polyhedrons, and their number can be expressed by quasi-polynomials (Theorem 4.2).

This is the case for the $H \in \mathfrak{H}_p$ with fixed genus g. Also the semigroups $H \in \mathfrak{H}_p$ containing another number q which is prime to p can be described using hyperplane sections of C_p (Example 4.1b)). We denote this set of numerical semigroups by $\mathfrak{H}_{pq}$.

We want to study the degree, the leading term and a quasi-period of the involved Ehrhart quasi-polynomials. For the semigroups $H \in \mathfrak{H}_{pq}$ the leading term is constant and gives therefore an asymptotic estimate for $q \to \infty$ of the number of the $H \in \mathfrak{H}_{pq}$ (Proposition 5.2). Similarly for the $H \in \mathfrak{H}_p$ of maximal embedding dimension p and the symmetric $H \in \mathfrak{H}_{pq}$ (Propositions 4.5 and 4.6).

The following figure illustrates the situation in the simplest case $p = 3$.



Figure 1: Geographical distribution of the $H \in \mathfrak{H}_3$.

The symmetric semigroups (here=complete intersections) belong to the edges of C_3 (see Proposition 3.1), those with maximal embedding dimension 3 (here=almost complete intersections) to the interior of C_3. The living space of the pseudo-symmetric semigroups are the dashed lines $X_1 = 2X_2$ and $X_2 = 2X_1 - 1$ (see Proposition 3.2) where the origin (which corresponds to $H = \mathbb{N}$) has to be excluded. That of the semigroups with genus $g = 7$ is the dotted line $X_1 + X_2 = 7$. Parallels to this line correspond to semigroups with fixed genus. The figure shows, for example, that for given $g \in \mathbb{N}$ there is exactly one symmetric (complete intersection) semigroup in $\mathfrak{H}_3$ of genus g if $g \not\equiv 2$ mod3, and no such semigroup if $g \equiv 2$ mod3. Similarly there is exactly one pseudo-symmetric $H \in \mathfrak{H}_3$ of genus $g > 0$ if $g \not\equiv 1$ mod3, and no such semigroup if $g \equiv 1$ mod3. The lattice points of C_3 with X-coordinate $\leq i$ (with Y-coordinate $\leq i$) correspond to the $H \in \mathfrak{H}_3$ with $1 + 3i \in H$ (with $2 + 3i \in H$), see Example 4.1b).

Using another visualization of the $H \in \mathfrak{H}_{pq}$ by lattice paths in the plane ([KKW],[KW]) recursion formulas for the number of semigroups $H \in \mathfrak{H}_{pq}$ which interest us can be derived, see Section 5. Finally in Section 6 explicit formulas

are given for $p \leq 5$. For related counting problems, see [Ka], [BGP].

2. The polyhedral cone C_p and its faces

We recall some facts about C_p which are relevant for us. Details can be found in [Ku] or [RGGB]. For $H \in \mathfrak{H}_p$ let $\{h_1, \ldots, h_{p-1}\} = \mathrm{Ap}(H, p)$ be the Apéry set of H with respect to p, that is, h_i is the smallest element of H in $i + p\mathbb{N}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, p-1$. Write $h_i = i + \mu_i p$. The semigroup H is uniquely determined by $(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_{p-1})$ since $H =< p, h_1, \ldots, h_{p-1} >$. The points $(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_{p-1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{p-1}$ are the solutions in $\mathbb{N}^{p-1}$ of the system of linear inequalities

$$\begin{cases} X_i + X_j \geq X_{i+j} & (i+j < p) \\ X_i + X_j \geq X_{i+j-p} - 1 & (i+j > p) \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

Let C_p be the solution set of (1) in $\mathbb{R}^{p-1}$. This is a polyhedral cone with vertex $v := (-\frac{1}{p}, -\frac{2}{p} \ldots, -\frac{p-1}{p})$ and

$$\mu : \mathfrak{H}_p \to C_p \cap \mathbb{N}^{p-1} \quad (H \mapsto (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_{p-1}))$$

is a bijection of $\mathfrak{H}_p$ onto the set of lattice points of C_p. For $H \in \mathfrak{H}_p$ we call $\mu(H)$ the lattice point associated to H, and for $P = \mu(H)$ we say that H is the semigroup belonging to the point P. By a *face* of C_p we always understand an open face. Its dimension is the dimension of the smallest affine space containing it. We consider the interior C_p^0 of C_p and the vertex v also as faces.

We have $C_p^0 \cap \mathbb{N}^{p-1} = (1, \ldots, 1) + C_p \cap \mathbb{N}^{p-1}$ ([Ku], 1.4d). C_p and C_p^0 have dimension $p-1$. The *facets* (1-codimensional faces) correspond bijectively to the hyperplanes

$$\begin{cases} E_{ij} : X_i + X_j - X_{i+j} = 0 & (i+j < p) \\ E_{ij} : X_i + X_j - X_{i+j-p} + 1 = 0 & (i+j > p) \end{cases}$$

Hence for even p there are $\frac{(p-1)^2-1}{2}$ facets, for odd p their number is $\frac{(p-1)^2}{2}$.

The translation of C_p by the vector $-v$ leads to a polyhedral cone $C_p^* := -v + C_p$ in $\mathbb{R}_+^{p-1}$ with vertex at the origin. It is the solution set of the system $X_i + X_j \geq X_{i+j}$ ($i + j \neq p$ with indices reduced modulo p). Therefore $C_p^* \subset C_p$. On each face of C_p^* there are lattice points. This is true in particular for its edges (1-dimensional faces). On each such edge there is a *primitive lattice point* δ, that is a point with relatively prime integral coordinates, and all other lattice points on the edge are integral multiples of δ. The set of all these δ is called the *canonical system of representatives* for the edges of C_p^*.

Let $m(H)$ denote the multiplicity and $\mathrm{edim}(H)$ the embedding dimension of a numerical semigroup H. The lattice points in the interior of C_p correspond to the $H \in \mathfrak{H}_p$ with $\mathrm{edim}(H) = m(H) = p$ ([Ku], 2.4b), called in modern language the *semigroups of maximal embedding dimension p*.

Let S be a d-dimensional face of C_p, S^* the face of C_p^* parallel to S and $\bar{S}$ resp. $\bar{S}^*$ the topological closures of these faces. The generating function

(Hilbert series) for the lattice points on S is the formal power series

$$H_S(X_1,\dots,X_{p-1}) = \sum_{\mu\in S\cap\mathbb{N}^{p-1}} X^\mu.$$

The generating functions $H_{\bar S}$ for $\bar S$, H_p for C_p and H_p^0 for the interior C_p^0 of C_p are defined accordingly.

2.1. Remark. ([Ku], 2.1) $H_p^0(X_1,\dots,X_{p-1}) = X_1\cdots X_{p-1}H_p(X_1,\dots,X_{p-1})$.

Let $\{\delta_1,\dots,\delta_t\}$ be the canonical system of representatives for the edges of C_p^* and $\delta_1,\dots,\delta_r$ the vectors belonging to $\bar S^*$. Using slack variables T_{ij} the system (1) of Section 1 becomes a linear system of equations

$$X_i + X_j - X_{i+j} - T_{ij} = 0 \ (i+j<p)$$

$$X_i + X_j - X_{i+j-p} - T_{ij} = -1 \ (i+j>p).$$

To it the results of Stanley [S1] Chap.I can be applied. One obtains

2.2. Proposition. $H_{\bar S}$ can be written in the form

$$H_{\bar S} = \frac{F_{\bar S}}{\prod_{i=1}^r (1-X^{\delta_i})},\ F_{\bar S}\in\mathbb{Z}[X_1,\dots,X_{p-1}]$$

Similarly H_p has the form

$$H_p = \frac{F_p}{\prod_{i=1}^t (1-X^{\delta_i})},\ F_p\in\mathbb{Z}[X_1,\dots,X_{p-1}].$$

Further $\deg_{X_i} H_{\bar S}\le -1$ and $\deg_{X_i} H_p\le -1$ $(i=1,\dots,p-1)$.

See [Ku], 3.2 and 3.3 for details. The proof of [Ku], 3.2 contains the false statement that $R_{\overline{S^*}} := \mathbb{C}[\{X^\mu\}_{\mu\in\overline{S^*}\cap\mathbb{N}^{p-1}}]$ as an algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ is generated by $X^{\delta_1},\dots,X^{\delta_r}$. However the algebra is a finitely generated module over $A := \mathbb{C}[X^{\delta_1},\dots,X^{\delta_r}]$. Then also the module $M_{\bar S} := \bigoplus_{\mu\in\bar S\cap\mathbb{N}^{p-1}} \mathbb{C}\cdot X^\mu$ is a finitely generated A-module, which is what is actually used in the proof.

3. Symmetric and pseudo-symmetric semigroups

Apéry's characterization of symmetric semigroups in terms of their Apéry sets ([A]) allows to describe the lattice points in C_p belonging to such semigroups. Let

$$t := \begin{cases} p-1, & p \text{ odd} \\ \frac{p}{2}, & p \text{ even} \end{cases}.$$

3.1. Proposition ([Ku], 2.9) There are t faces $S_1,\dots,S_t$ of dimension $\lfloor\frac{p}{2}\rfloor$ such that any symmetric $H\in\mathfrak{H}_p$ belongs to exactly one $\bar S_j$, and all lattice points on the $\bar S_j$ correspond to symmetric $H\in\mathfrak{H}_p$.

In particular $\bar{S}_j \cap \bar{S}_k \cap \mathbb{N}^{p-1} = \emptyset$ for $j \neq k$. According to Proposition 2.2 the generating function for the symmetric semigroups

$$H_{\mathrm{sym}}(X_1, \ldots, X_{p-1}) := \sum_{\mu \in \cup_{j=1}^{t} \bar{S}_j \cap \mathbb{N}^{p-1}} X^{\mu} = \sum_{j=1}^{t} H_{\bar{S}_j}(X_1, \ldots, X_{p-1})$$

is a rational function.

For pseudo-symmetric semigroups the situation is more complicated. Remember that a numerical semigroup H of genus $g(H)$ and Frobenius number $F(H)$ is *pseudo-symmetric* if $2g(H) = F(H) + 2$.

Let $\mathfrak{S}_{p-1}$ be the permutation group of $\{1, \ldots, p-1\}$ and $\mathfrak{S}^*_{p-1}$ the set of all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{p-1}$ such that

$$\sigma(i) + \sigma(p-2-i) \equiv \sigma(p-2) \bmod p \ (i = 1, \ldots, p-3)$$

$$2\sigma(p-1) \equiv \sigma(p-2) \bmod p.$$

For $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^*_{p-1}$ let L_σ be the affine subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{p-1}$ defined by the linear equations

$$(1)\ \ X_{\sigma(i)} + X_{\sigma(p-2-i)} = \begin{cases} X_{\sigma(p-2)} & \text{if } \sigma(i) + \sigma(p-2-i) = \sigma(p-2) \\ X_{\sigma(p-2)} - 1 & \text{if } \sigma(i) + \sigma(p-2-i) = \sigma(p-2) + p \end{cases}$$

$(i = 1, \ldots, p-3)$ and

$$2X_{\sigma(p-1)} = X_{\sigma(p-2)} + \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 2\sigma(p-1) = \sigma(p-2) \\ 0 & \text{if } 2\sigma(p-1) = \sigma(p-2) + p \end{cases} .$$

This space has dimension $\lfloor \frac{p-1}{2} \rfloor$.

The following Proposition is based on [RG],4.15.

3.2. Proposition. a) For $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^*_{p-1}$ each lattice point $(\mu_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, \mu_{\sigma(p-1)}) \neq 0$ of $L_\sigma \cap C_p$ belongs to a pseudo-symmetric semigroup.
b) For each pseudo-symmetric $H \in \mathfrak{H}_p$ there exists a $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^*_{p-1}$ such that $\mu(H) \in L_\sigma \cap C_p$.
c) For $p > 3$ the $L_\sigma \cap C_p$ are contained in the boundary of C_p.
d) $L_{id} \cap C_p = \bar{S} \cap H$ where $\bar{S}$ is the closure of a face S of C_p of dimension $\lfloor \frac{p+1}{2} \rfloor$ containing 0 and H a hyperplane through 0 defining a facet of C_p^*.

Proof. a) Let $h_{\sigma(i)} := \sigma(i) + \mu_{\sigma(i)} p$ $(i = 1, \ldots, p-1)$. Then $\{h_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, h_{\sigma(p-1)}\}$ is the Apéry set of a semigroup $H \in \mathfrak{H}_p$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} h_{\sigma(i)} = \binom{p}{2} + p \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \mu_{\sigma(i)} = \binom{p}{2} + g(H)p$.

From the equations defining L_σ we obtain

$$h_{\sigma(i)} + h_{\sigma(p-2-i)} = h_{\sigma(p-2)} \ (i = 1, \ldots, p-3)$$

$$2h_{\sigma(p-1)} = h_{\sigma(p-2)} + p.$$

Obviously $h_{\sigma(i)} < h_{\sigma(p-2)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, p-3$, hence $h_{\sigma(p-2)} \geq p-2$, and then by the last equation $h_{\sigma(p-1)} \geq p-1$ and $h_{\sigma(p-2)} - h_{\sigma(p-1)} = h_{\sigma(p-1)} - p \geq -1$. In case $h_{\sigma(p-1)} > h_{\sigma(p-2)}$ we would have $h_{\sigma(p-1)} = p-1, \mu_{\sigma(p-1)} = 0$. But then $\mu_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, p-1$, contrary to the assumption.

Thus $h_{\sigma(p-2)}$ is the maximal element of the Apéry set of H, i.e. $h_{\sigma(p-2)} = F(H) + p$ with the Frobenius number $F(H)$ of H. Adding the above equations gives

$$2\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} h_{\sigma(i)} = ph_{\sigma(p-2)}) + p = p(F(H) + p) + p$$

that is

$$2g(H) = F(H) + 2$$

which is equivalent to H being pseudo-symmetric.
b) If H is pseudo-symmetric, then $F(H)$ is an even number. By [RG], 4.15 there is a permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^*_{p-1}$ such that $\{h_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, h_{\sigma(p-1)}\}$ is the Apéry set of H and

$$h_{\sigma(i)} + h_{\sigma(p-2-i)} = h_{\sigma(p-2)} \ (i = 1, \dots, p-3)$$

$$h_{\sigma(p-2)} = F(H) + p, h_{\sigma(p-1)} = \frac{F(H)}{2} + p$$

in particular

$$2h_{\sigma(p-1)} = F(H) + 2p = h_{\sigma(p-2)} + p.$$

With $h_{\sigma(i)} := \sigma(i) + \mu_{\sigma(i)}p$ $(i = 1, \dots, p-1)$ it follows that $(\mu_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \mu_{\sigma(p-1)}) \in L_\sigma \cap C_p$.
c) For $p > 3$ the equations (1) show that L_σ is contained in a hyperplane which defines a facet of C_p, hence $L_\sigma \cap C_p$ belongs to the boundary of C_p.
d) $\sigma = id$ belongs to $\mathfrak{S}^*_{p-1}$ and in this case L_σ is the intersection of the hyperplanes

$$H_i : X_i + X_{p-2-i} = X_{p-2} \ (i = 1, \dots, p-3), H : 2X_{p-1} = X_{p-2}.$$

The H_i define facets of C_p containing the origin, and H a facet of C_p^*. We have

$$L_{id} \cap C_p = \cap_{i=1}^{p-3}(H_i \cap C_p) \cap H$$

and $\bar{S} := \cap_{i=1}^{p-3}(H_i \cap C_p)$ is the closure of a face S of C_p.

The point $P := (1, \dots, 1, 2, 1)$ is in $L_{id} \cap C_p$ and in the interior of the half-spaces $X_i + X_j \geq X_{i+j} (i + j \neq p-2)$. Therefore an open neighborhood of P in the $\lfloor \frac{p-1}{2} \rfloor$-dimensional affine space L_{id} is contained in $L_{id} \cap C_p$. Hence the face S must have dimension $\lfloor \frac{p+1}{2} \rfloor$. □

3.3. Corollary. ([RG], 4.26). For $p > 3$ pseudo-symmetric semigroups of $\mathfrak{H}_p$ have embedding dimension $< p$.

Proof. Otherwise the lattice points of such semigroups would be in the interior of C_p contradicting 3.2c). □

3.4. Example. In general $L_{id} \cap C_p$ is not a polyhedral cone. If $p = 7$, then L_{id} is a 3-space, in which $L_{id} \cap C_7$ is as shown in the next figure:



Figure 2

4. Intersections of C_p and its faces with hyperplanes

Certain classes of numerical semigroups correspond to the lattice points in the intersection of C_p or some of its faces with hyperplanes.

4.1. Examples.
a) If $\mu(H) = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_{p-1})$ for $H \in \mathfrak{H}_p$, then $g(H) = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \mu_i$ is the genus of H. Thus if H_g is the hyperplane $\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} X_i = g$, then the lattice points in $C_p \cap H_g$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the semigroups in $\mathfrak{H}_p$ of genus g and those of $C_p^0 \cap H_g$ with the $H \in \mathfrak{H}_p$ of genus g and maximal embedding dimension p.
b) Given $q = i + np$ ($i < p, i$ and p coprime) the lattice points in the intersection of C_p with the hyperplane $X_i = n$ correspond bijectively to the $H \in \mathfrak{H}_{pq}$ such that $q \in \mathrm{Ap}(H, p)$, and the lattice points in the intersection of C_p with the half-space $X_i \leq n$ to all $H \in \mathfrak{H}_{pq}$. In fact, if $\mu(H) = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_{p-1})$ and $\mu_i \leq n$, then $i + \mu_i p \in H$ implies that also $q \in H$.

More generally, let $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{p-1} \setminus \{0\}$ be a primitive lattice point, that is, if $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{p-1})$, then $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{p-1}$ are relatively prime. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^{p-1}$ let $\alpha \cdot x$ denote the scalar product of α and x, and $H_n = H_n(\alpha) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{p-1} | \alpha \cdot x = n\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We assume that no edge of C_p^* is contained in the hyperplane H_0. This condition is satisfied in the Examples 4.1. If in 4.1b) there would be an edge vector $\delta = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_{i-1}, 0, \mu_{i+1}, \ldots, \mu_{p-1})$ there would be infinitely many lattice points on the edge of C_p^* determined by δ. These correspond to semigroups $H \in \mathfrak{H}$ with $i \in H$. Since i is prime to p there exist only finitely many such H, a contradiction.

Let $\bar{S}$ be the topological closure of a d-dimensional face S of C_p and let $P_{\alpha,n}$

resp. $P^{\bar{S}}_{\alpha,n}$ be the intersection of the hyperplane H_n with the cone C_p resp. $\bar{S}$. For $n \geq 1$ the sets $P_{\alpha,n}$ and $P^{\bar{S}}_{\alpha,n}$ are rational polytopes of dimension $p-2$ resp. $d-1$. We are interested in the numbers $f_\alpha(n)$ resp. $f^{\bar{S}}_\alpha(n)$ of lattice points in $P_{\alpha,n}$ and $P^{\bar{S}}_{\alpha,n}$ and in the number $f^0_\alpha(n)$ of lattice points in $C^0_p \cap P_{\alpha,n}$.

4.2. Theorem. Let $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_t$ be the canonical system of representatives for the edges of C^*_p and $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_r$ the edge vectors contained in $\overline{S^*}$ where S^* is the face of C^*_p parallel to S. If $\bar{S}$ contains a lattice point $u \in \mathbb{N}^{p-1}$, then $f^{\bar{S}}_\alpha$ is a quasi-polynomial of degree $d-1$ with non-negative leading coefficient. The least common multiple of $\{\alpha \cdot \delta_i\}_{i=1,\ldots,r}$ is a quasi-period of $f^{\bar{S}}_\alpha$. In particular f_α is a quasi-polynomial of degree $p-2$. Moreover for $n \geq \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \alpha_i$

$$f^0_\alpha(n) = f_\alpha(n - \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \alpha_i).$$

Remember that a function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}, f \not\equiv 0$ with generating function $H_f(T) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n)T^n$ is called a *quasi-polynomial* of degree d and quasi-period $N > 0$ if f is of the form

$$f(n) = c_d(n)n^d + c_{d-1}(n)n^{d-1} + \cdots + c_0(n) \ (n \in \mathbb{N})$$

where the $c_i : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ are periodic functions with integral period N and $c_d(n)$ does not vanish identically. Equivalently, f is a quasi-polynomial if there exist an integer $N > 0$ and polynomials $f_0, \ldots, f_{N-1}$ such that

$$f(n) = f_i(n) \text{ if } n \equiv i \bmod N.$$

If there exists an integer $N > 0$ and polynomials $P(T), Q(T) \in \mathbb{C}[T] \setminus \{0\}$ with $\deg P(T) < \deg Q(T)$ so that

$$H_f(T) = \frac{P(T)}{Q(T)}$$

and $\alpha^N = 1$ for each zero α of Q, then f is a quasi-polynomial with quasi-period N. Its degree is one less than the maximum pole order of the rational function $\frac{P(T)}{Q(T)}$ ([S2],4.4.1). $f \equiv 0$ is also considered as a quasi-polynomial.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. At first we show that $f^{\bar{S}}_\alpha$ is a quasi-polynomial. Since $H_n(\alpha)$ does not contain an edge of $\bar{S}^*$ the denominator $\prod_{i=1}^r (1 - X^{\delta_i})$ of the generating function $H_{\bar{S}}$ (see Proposition 2.2) does not vanish if we replace the X_j by T^{α_j} with a variable T. Then

$$H_{\bar{S}}(T^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, T^{\alpha_{p-1}}) = \frac{F_{\bar{S}}(T^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, T^{\alpha_{p-1}})}{\prod_{i=1}^r (1 - T^{\alpha \cdot \delta_i})} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{\bar{S}}_\alpha(n) T^n.$$

Set $P(T) := F_{\bar{S}}(T^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, T^{\alpha_{p-1}})$ and $Q(T) := \prod_{i=1}^r (1 - T^{\alpha \cdot \delta_i})$. Since $\deg_{X_j} H_{\bar{S}} \leq -1$ we have $\deg P < \deg Q$. Hence $f^{\bar{S}}_\alpha(n)$ is a quasi-polynomial with quasi-period as stated. Its leading coefficient is non-negative since $f^{\bar{S}}_\alpha(n) \in \mathbb{N}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now we show that $f_\alpha^{\bar S}$ has degree $d-1$. By assumption $\bar S$ contains a lattice point $u \in \mathbb{N}^{p-1}$. On the line through u and the vertex v of C_p there is a lattice point w not contained in $\bar S$, hence $-w \in \mathbb{N}^{p-1}$ and

$$u + \overline{S^*} \subset \bar S \subset w + \overline{S^*}. \tag{1}$$

Let $P^*_{\alpha,n} := \bar S^* \cap H_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$. Then $P^*_{\alpha,1}$ is a convex polytope of dimension $d-1$ and $P^*_{\alpha,n} = n \cdot P^*_{\alpha,1}$. To this situation a theorem of Ehrhart ([S2],4.6.8) can be applied. It states that if $i(P^*_{\alpha,n})$ is the number of lattice points in $P^*_{\alpha,n}$, then this function of n is a quasi-polynomial of degree $d-1$.

In order to show this also for $f_\alpha^{\bar S}$ observe that (1) implies that for $n \geq l := \alpha \cdot u$ and $k := \alpha \cdot w$ we have

$$u + P^*_{\alpha,n-l} \subset \bar S \cap H_n \subset w + P^*_{\alpha,n-k}.$$

Thus for large n the quasi-polynomial $f_\alpha^{\bar S}$ is trapped by two quasi-polynomials of degree $d-1$, hence it has also the degree $d-1$.

The formula for f_α^0 follows from Remark 2.1 after substituting $X_i = T^{\alpha_i}$ $(i = 1, \ldots, p-1)$ and expanding into power series in T. $\square$

In the situation of Example 4.1a) let $G(p,g)$ be the number of $H \in \mathfrak{H}_p$ with genus g. Then with $\alpha = (1, \ldots, 1)$ we have $G(p,g) = f_\alpha(n)$, and Theorem 4.2 tells us that $G(p,g)$, as a function of g, is a quasi-polynomial of degree $p-2$ with non-negative leading term. Moreover the least common multiple of $\{\alpha \cdot \delta_i\}_{i=1,\ldots,r}$ is a quasi-period of $G(p,g)$.

$G^0(p.g) := f_\alpha^0(g)$ is the number of $H \in \mathfrak{H}_p$ having maximal embedding dimension p and genus g. For $g \geq p-1$ we have

$$G^0(p,g) = G(p, g-(p-1)). \tag{2}$$

If $G_{sym}(p,g)$ is the the number of symmetric $H \in \mathfrak{H}_p$ with genus g, then Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 4.2 imply that $G_{sym}(p,g)$ is a quasi-polynomial of degree $\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor - 1$.

In the situation of Example 4.1b) we can apply Theorem 4.2 to the functions $f_{e_i}^{\bar S}$ where e_i is the i-th unit vector and $\bar S$ the closure of a face of C_p containing a lattice point. Let $g_{e_i}(n) := \sum_{j=0}^n f_{e_i}(j)$ and $g^0_{e_i}(n) := \sum_{j=0}^n f^0_{e_i}(j)$, that is, the number of $H \in \mathfrak{H}_p$ (of maximal embedding dimension p) containing also $i + np$. In order to apply Theorem 4.2 also to g_{e_i} and $g^0_{e_i}$ we need the following facts.

For functions $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ we consider the operators

$$E : f(n) \to f(n+1) \text{ (shift)}$$

$$\Delta : f(n) \to f(n+1) - f(n) \text{ (difference)}$$

$$\Sigma : f(n) \to \sum_{i=0}^{n} f(i) \text{ (sum)}.$$

It is easy to see that f is a quasi-polynomial if and only if this is the case for $Ef, \Delta f$ or Σf. Moreover $\deg Ef = \deg f$.

4.4. Lemma. Let $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}, f \not\equiv 0$ be a quasi-polynomial of degree d.
a) If f is increasing, then $c_d(n)$ is constant.
b) If $f \geq 0$, then $\deg \Sigma f = d + 1$.

Proof. a) Let N be a quasi-period of $f = \sum_{k=0}^{d} c_k(n) n^k$ and $f_0, \ldots, f_{N-1}$ the polynomials with $f(n) = f_i(n)$ for $i = 0, \ldots, N-1$. Then $c_d(i)$ is the coefficient of t^d in $f_i(t)$ for $i = 0, \ldots, N-1$. With $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and $i \in \{0, \ldots, N-1\}$ we have

$$f_0(kN) = f(kN) \leq f(i + kN) = f_i(i + kN) \leq f(N + kN) = f_0((k+1)N).$$

If follows that

$$c_d(0) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{f_0(kN)}{(kN)^d} \leq c_d(i) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{f_i(i+kN)}{(kN)^d} \leq \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{f_0((k+1)N)}{(kN)^d}$$

$$= \lim_{k\to\infty} c_d(0)(\frac{k+1}{k})^d = c_d(0),$$

hence $c_d(0) = c_d(i)$ and c_d is constant.
b) If $c_d(n) = c_d$ is constant, then for $n \equiv i \bmod d$

$$f(n+1) - f(n) = c_d(n+1)^d - c_d n^d + g$$

with a quasi-polynomial g of degree $\leq d-1$. Hence $\deg(\Delta f) \leq d-1$ for an increasing f. As Σf is increasing for $f \geq 0$ and $\Delta \Sigma f = Ef$ we have

$$\deg \Sigma f \geq \deg \Delta(\Sigma f) + 1 = \deg Ef + 1 = d + 1.$$

Since $f \geq 0$ there exists $k > 0$ so that for $n > k$ all polynomial functions $f_j(n)$ are increasing. Moreover there exists $i \in \{0, \ldots, N-1\}$ such that $f_i(n) \geq f_j(n)$ for all j and $n > k$, if k is sufficiently large. Then for $n > k$

$$f(0) + f(1) + \cdots + f(n) \leq f(0) + \cdots + f(k) + (n-k) f_i(n) =: h(n)$$

where $h(n)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq d+1$. Consequently $\deg \Sigma f \leq d+1$, and b) follows. □

Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 4.4 imply

4.5. Proposition. Let $i \in \{1, \ldots, p-1\}$ be prime to p and $N(p, i+np) := g_{e_i}(n)$ resp. $\mathrm{Medim}(p, i+np) := g^0_{e_i}(n)$ the number of $H \in \mathfrak{H}_p$ (of maximal embedding dimension p) with $i + np \in H$. Then the functions $N(p, i+np)$ and $\mathrm{Medim}(p, i+np)$ of the variable n can be expressed as quasi-polynomials of degree $p-1$ having the same highest coefficient, which is independent of n. More precisely for each $q > p$ which is prime to p

$$\mathrm{Medim}(p, q) = N(p, q-p).$$

For the last formula note that $f^0_{e_i}(0) = 0, f^0_{e_i}(n) = f_{e_i}(n-1)$ for $n \geq 1$ by 4.2, hence $\mathrm{Medim}(p, i+np) = g^0_{e_i}(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} f^0_{e_i}(k) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_{e_i}(k) = g_{e_i}(n-1) = N(p, i + (n-1)p)$.

Similarly as in Proposition 4.5, if $H(p,g)$ denotes the number of $H \in \mathfrak{H}_p$ of genus $\leq g$, then $H(p,g)$ is a quasi-polynomial of g with degree $p-1$ and constant highest coefficient.

As in Proposition 3.1 let $\bar{S}_1, \ldots, \bar{S}_t$ be the closures of the faces of dimension $\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor$ of C_p whose lattice points correspond to the symmetric $H \in \mathfrak{H}_p$. Let $\mathrm{Sym}(p, i+np)$ be the number of symmetric $H \in \mathfrak{H}_p$ containing $i+np$. Application of Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 4.4 to $\Sigma f_{e_i}^{\bar{S}_j}$ $(j = 1, \ldots, t)$ yields

4.6. Proposition. $\mathrm{Sym}(p, i+np)$ is, as a function of n, a quasi-polynomial of degree $\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor$ whose leading coefficient is independent of n.

5. Asymptotic estimates and recursion formulas

For an integer q which is prime to p let $\mathfrak{H}_{pq}$ be the set of all $H \in \mathfrak{H}_p$ with $q \in H$. We are interested in the functions $N(p,q), \mathrm{Sym}(p,q)$ and $\mathrm{Psym}(p,q)$ where $N(p,q)$ is the number of elements of $\mathfrak{H}_{pq}$, $\mathrm{Sym}(p,q)$ resp. $\mathrm{Psym}(p,q)$ the number of symmetric resp. pseudo-symmetric $H \in \mathfrak{H}_{pq}$.

As in [KKW] and [KW] we associate with each $H \in \mathfrak{H}_{pq}$ $(q > p)$ a certain lattice path in the plane which is contained in the triangle Δ_0 bounded by the line $g_0 : p(X+1) + q(Y+1) = pq$ and the coordinate axes, starts on the Y-axis, ends on the X-axis and has only right or downward steps. In the following the word "lattice path" always means such a path. The set of all lattice paths for given p, q is called the (p,q)-system.

The lattice path belonging to $H \in \mathfrak{H}_{pq}$ is constructed as follows. A semigroup $H \in \mathfrak{H}_{pq}$ is obtained from $H_{pq} =< p, q >$ by closing some of its gaps. Each such gap γ can be written

$$\gamma = pq - (a+1)p - (b+1)q \tag{1}$$

with a unique $(a,b) \in \Delta_0$. The set L_H of all these (a,b) is bounded by a lattice path and the coordinate axes. It is by definition the path associated to H.



Figure 3

We use the notation $(P_0, P_1, \ldots, P_m)$ for lattice paths where P_0 is the point where it starts, P_m the point where it ends, and the other P_i are the points where after a right step a downward step follows. As is seen from (1) a downward step in the lattice path of H means for the corresponding γ an addition of q, a right step a subtraction of p. If (a, b) is a point of the lattice path, then the corresponding γ is the smallest element of H in the residue class of $-(b+1)q$ modulo p, hence an element of $\mathrm{Ap}(H, p)$, and different b give different elements of the Apéry set. Thus the lattice path is given by the Apéry set and conversely also determines this set. This also indicates the relation to the points of the polyhedral cone C_p. To $H = H_{pq}$ we may associate the empty path and the empty set L_H.

Not every lattice path as above belongs to a semigroup. For an arbitrary lattice path let L be the set of lattice points in the area bounded by the path and the coordinate axes. In order that $L = L_H$ with an $H \in \mathfrak{H}_{pq}$ the following conditions must be satisfied ([KKW])
a) For $(a, b), (a', b') \in L$ with $a + a' \geq q - 1$ also $(a + a' - q + 1, b + b' + 1)$ must be in L.
b) For $(a, b), (a', b') \in L$ with $b + b' \geq p - 1$ also $(a + a' + 1, b + b' - p + 1)$ must be in L.

Lattice paths whose corresponding set L satisfied a) and b) were called *admissible*, and their number was denoted by $L(p, q)$.

5.1. Lemma. $L(p, q)$ as a function of p and of q is increasing.

Proof. Let $(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q})$ be another pair of relatively prime integers with $\tilde{p} \geq p, \tilde{q} \geq q$. Let $\tilde{\Delta}_0$ be the triangle bounded by the line $\tilde{p}(X+1)+\tilde{q}(Y+1) = \tilde{p}\tilde{q}$ and the axes. Clearly $\Delta_0 \subset \tilde{\Delta}_0$ so that any lattice path in Δ_0 is also one in $\tilde{\Delta}_0$. Let $L \subset \Delta_0$ be the set of lattice points corresponding to it. If it satisfies the admissibility

conditions a) and b) above, then they are also satisfied in the $(\tilde{p},\tilde{q})$-system: Let $(a,b),(a',b') \in L$ and $a+a' \geq q-1$. If $(a+a'-q+1, b+b'+1) \in L$, then also $(a+a'-\tilde{q}+1, b+b'+1) = (a+a'-q+1, b+b'+1) - (\tilde{q}-q, 0) \in L$. If $b+b' \geq p-1$ the proof is analogous. $\square$

If $q = i+np$ and g_{e_i} is the quasi-polynomial studied in Section 4 we have

$$N(p,q) = L(p,q) + 1 = g_{e_i}(n) \tag{2}$$

where the 1 comes from H_{pq} or the empty lattice path. Proposition 4.5 and Lemma 5.1 imply

5.2. Proposition. $\lim_{q\to\infty} \frac{N(p,q)}{q^{p-1}}$ and $\lim_{q\to\infty} \frac{\mathrm{Medim}(p,q)}{q^{p-1}}$ exist and are equal.

The above limits give asymptotic estimates of how many $H \in \mathfrak{H}_{pq}$ (of maximal embedding dimension p) exist. With a somewhat different approach it is shown in [HW] that also for an arbitrary q which is prime to p the function $N(p,q)$ is a quasi-polynomial in q of degree $p-1$ and estimates of its (constant) highest coefficients are given, i.e. of the above limits.

It was shown in [KW] that the admissible lattice paths starting at the point $(0,p-2)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the semigroups of $\mathfrak{H}_{p,q-p}$ (if $q-p<p$ exchange p and $q-p$). Thus we have the recursion formula

$$N(p,q) = N_{pq} + N(p,q-p) + 1 \qquad (q>p) \tag{3}$$

where N_{pq} is the number of admissible lattice paths starting at $(0,b)$ with $b \in \mathbb{N}, b \leq p-3$.

Also for the numbers $\mathrm{Sym}(p,q)$ resp. $\mathrm{Psym}(p,q)$ of symmetric (pseudo-symmetric) $H \in \mathfrak{H}_{pq}$ one has recursion formulas

$$\mathrm{Sym}(p,q) = S_{pq} + \mathrm{Sym}(p,q-p) + 1 \qquad (q>p) \tag{4}$$

$$\mathrm{Psym}(p,q) = P_{pq} + \mathrm{Psym}(p,q-p) \qquad (q>p) \tag{5}$$

where S_{pq} (resp. P_{pq}) is the number of admissible lattice paths starting at a point $(0,b)$ with $b \leq p-3$ and defining a symmetric (pseudo-symmetric) semigroup. We shall use the formulas (3)-(5) in the next section to derive explicit recursion formulas for $N(p,q), \mathrm{Sym}(p,q)$ and $\mathrm{Psym}(p,q)$ in the cases $p=3, p=4$.

6. Examples

The function $G(p,g)$ tells us in how many ways we can remove g numbers from $\mathbb{N}$, where 0 and p are not removed, so that the remaining set is additively closed. In [Ku], Appendix C various generating functions for $\alpha = (1,\dots,1)$ and $p \le 5$ are listed. The following explicit formulas can be easily derived:

$$G(3,g) = \lfloor \frac{g}{3} \rfloor + 1$$

$$G(4,g) = \frac{1}{12}g^2 + \frac{1}{2}g + \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } g \equiv 0 \bmod 6 \\ \frac{5}{12} & \text{if } g \equiv 1 \bmod 6 \\ \frac{2}{3} & \text{if } g \equiv 2 \bmod 6 \\ \frac{3}{4} & \text{if } g \equiv 3 \bmod 6 \\ \frac{2}{3} & \text{if } g \equiv 4 \bmod 6 \\ \frac{5}{12} & \text{if } g \equiv 5 \bmod 6 \end{cases} = \lfloor \frac{1}{12}g^2 + \frac{1}{2}g \rfloor + 1$$

$$G_{sym}(3,g) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } g \not\equiv 2 \bmod 3 \\ 0 & \text{if } g \equiv 2 \bmod 3 \end{cases} \qquad G_{sym}(4,g) = \lfloor \frac{g}{3} \rfloor + 1.$$

For $p = 3$ see also Fig.1. For $G^0(p,g)$ see formula (2) of Section 4.

g	$G(3,g)$	$G^0(3,g)$	$G_{sym}(3,g)$	$G(4,g)$	$G^0(4,g)$	$G_{sym}(4,g)$
0	1	0	1	1	0	1
1	1	0	1	1	0	1
2	1	1	0	2	0	1
3	2	1	1	3	1	2
4	2	1	1	4	1	2
5	2	2	0	5	2	2
6	3	2	1	7	3	3
7	3	2	1	8	4	3
8	3	3	0	10	5	3

The following formulas were communicated to us by H. Knebl:

$$G(5,g) = \frac{1}{135}g^3 + \frac{4}{45}g^2 + R(i)$$

$$G_{sym}(5,g) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{6}g + S(i) & g \not\equiv 3 \bmod 5 \\ 0 & g \equiv 3 \bmod 5 \end{cases}$$

with $R(i)$ and $S(i)$, depending on $i \equiv g \bmod 30$, as in the table below.

i	$R(i)$	$S(i)$
0	$(7/15)g+1$	1
1	$(1/3)g+77/135$	5/6
2	$(19/45)g+20/27$	2/3
3	$(3/10)g+1/10$	–
4	$(2/5)g+68/135$	4/3
5	$(29/90)g+13/54$	1/6
6	$(13/30)g+3/5$	1
7	$(11/30)g+29/54$	5/6
8	$(16/45)g+91/135$	–
9	$(3/10)g+7/10$	1/2
10	$(7/15)g+28/27$	4/3
11	$(13/45)g+28/135$	1/6
12	$(7/15)g+4/5$	1
13	$(3/10)g-53/270$	–
14	$(16/45)g+37/135$	2/3
15	$(11/30)g+1/2$	1/2
16	$(13/30)g+131/135$	4/3
17	$(29/90)g+119/270$	1/6
18	$(2/5)g+4/5$	–
19	$(3/10)g+109/270$	5/6
20	$(19/45)g+20/27$	2/3
21	$(1/3)g+1/5$	1/2
22	$(7/15)g+113/135$	4/3
23	$(23/90)g-7/270$	–
24	$(2/5)g+4/5$	1
25	$(11/30)g+29/54$	5/6
26	$(7/18)g+82/135$	2/3
27	$(11/30)g+1/2$	1/2
28	$(2/5)g+68/135$	–
29	$(23/90)g+47/270$	1/6

In [Ka], table 1 an extensive list with values of the function $S(m,g)$ counting the semigroups with multiplicity m and genus g is given. If m is a prime number, then $S(m,g)=G(m,g)$ for large g, since there are only finitely many $H\in\mathfrak{H}_m$ of multiplicity $<m$.

In the following the recursion formulas (3)-(5) from Section 5 will be used. I) For $\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{3}$, in order to determine N_{3q},S_{3q} and P_{3q}, we have only to consider admissible lattice paths on the X-axis. These are the paths ending at $(j,0)$ with $j\leq\lfloor\frac{q}{2}\rfloor-1$. They correspond to the semigroups $H_j=<3,q,2q-3(j+1)>$ where H_j is symmetric if and only if q is even and $j=\frac{q}{2}-1$ and H_j is pseudo-symmetric if and only if $j=0$ or q is odd and $j=\frac{q-1}{2}-1$ ([KW], Example 2.5b). Thus $N_{3q}=\lfloor\frac{q}{2}\rfloor$ and

$$N(3,q)=N(3,q-3)+\lfloor\frac{q}{2}\rfloor+1\qquad(q>3), \tag{1}$$

and for $q \geq 4$

$$\text{Sym}(3,q) = \text{Sym}(3,q-3) + \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } q \text{ is even} \\ 1 & \text{if } q \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

$$\text{Psym}(3,q) = \text{Psym}(3,q-3) + \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q \text{ is even} \\ 2 & \text{if } q \text{ is odd} \end{cases} . \tag{3}$$

Clearly $\text{Sym}(3,1) = 1$ and $\text{Sym}(3,2) = 2$, further $\text{Psym}(3,1) = \text{Psym}(3,2) = 0$. For $\text{Medim}(p,q)$, thanks to Proposition 4.5, no further discussion is necessary.

q	$N(3,q)$	$\text{Medim}(3,q)$	$\text{Sym}(3,q)$	$\text{Psym}(3,q)$
1	1	0	1	0
2	2	0	2	0
4	4	1	3	1
5	5	2	3	2
7	8	4	4	3
8	10	5	5	3
10	14	8	6	4
11	16	10	6	5
13	21	14	7	6
14	24	16	8	6

The numbers in the columns can also be found by using figure 1. By what was said there we have $N(3,q) = \text{Medim}(3,q) + \text{Sym}(3,q)$, and by Proposition 4.5 $\text{Medim}(3,q) = N(3,q-3)$.

6.1. Proposition. a) $\lim_{q\to\infty} \frac{N(3,q)}{q^2} = \lim_{q\to\infty} \frac{\text{Medim}(3,q)}{q^2} = \frac{1}{12}$.
b) $\lim_{q\to\infty} \frac{\text{Sym}(3,q)}{q} = \lim_{q\to\infty} \frac{\text{Psym}(3,q)}{q} = \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. a) By [KW], Example 3.5 we have $N(3,q) = \lfloor \frac{q^2}{12} + \frac{q}{2} \rfloor + 1$ which implies a). Alternately, from the recursion formula (1) follows for $q \geq 7$ that

$$N(3,q) - N(3,q-6) = \lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{q-3}{2} \rfloor + 2 = q$$

$$N(3,q-6(i-1)) - N(3,q-6i) = q - 6(i-1) \ (i \geq 1)$$

hence

$$N(3,q) - N(3,q-6\lfloor \frac{q}{6} \rfloor) = \lfloor \frac{q}{6} \rfloor q - 6 \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{q}{6} \rfloor - 1} i =$$

$$\frac{q^2}{12} + \frac{q}{2} - 3(\frac{q}{6} - \lfloor \frac{q}{6} \rfloor + 1)(\frac{q}{6} - \lfloor \frac{q}{6} \rfloor)$$

which also gives a).

b) By (2) and (3) for $q \geq 7$

$$\mathrm{Sym}(3,q) - \mathrm{Sym}(3,q-6) = 3 = \mathrm{Psym}(3,q) - \mathrm{Psym}(3,q-6),$$

hence

$$\mathrm{Sym}(3,q) - \mathrm{Sym}(3,q-6\lfloor\frac{q}{6}\rfloor) = 3\lfloor\frac{q}{6}\rfloor = \mathrm{Psym}(3,q) - \mathrm{Psym}(3,q-6\lfloor\frac{q}{6}\rfloor),$$

from which b) follows. □

II) For $\mathbf{p=4}$, in order to determine N_{4q}, S_{4q} and P_{4q}, we have to investigate the lattice paths starting at $(0,1)$ or $(0,0)$ and the corresponding semigroups.

By [KW], 2.6 there are $\binom{2+q'}{2} - 1 = \frac{1}{8}(q^2+4q+3) - 1$ $(q' = \frac{q-1}{2})$ lattice paths in the rectangle $\mathbf{R}$ with the corners $(0,0),(0,1),(q'-1,1),(q'-1,0)$ and all are admissible. To find N_{4q} we have also to determine the admissible paths starting at $P_0 = (0,1)$ and ending at a point $(q'+i,0)$ $(i = 0,\ldots,q-2-\lfloor\frac{q}{4}\rfloor - q')$.

Such a lattice path is admissible if and only if it contains $(2i,1)$ and avoids $(q'-i,1)$. Thus it is of the form (P_0,P_1,P_2) with $P_1 = (j,1), P_2 = (q'+i,0)$ $(2i \leq j < q'-i)$ and defines the semigroup

$$G_{ij} := < 4, q, 2q-4(j+1), 3q-4(q'+i+1) > .$$

Given i there are $q'-3i$ such paths, the number of possible downward steps, where $i \leq \lfloor\frac{q'}{3}\rfloor = \lfloor\frac{q-1}{6}\rfloor$. Note that the last condition implies that

$$i \leq q-2-\lfloor\frac{q}{4}\rfloor - q' \tag{4}$$

so that we stay below the line g_0. Altogether there are

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor\frac{q-1}{6}\rfloor} (q'-3i) = (\lfloor\frac{q-1}{6}\rfloor + 1)\frac{q-1}{2} - \frac{3}{2}(\lfloor\frac{q-1}{6}\rfloor + 1)\lfloor\frac{q-1}{6}\rfloor$$

such lattice paths (semigroups G_{ij}), and therefore for $q > 4$

$$N(4,q) = \frac{1}{8}(q^2+4q+3) + (\lfloor\frac{q-1}{6}\rfloor + 1)(\frac{q-1}{2} - \frac{3}{2}\lfloor\frac{q-1}{6}\rfloor) + N(4,q-4). \tag{5}$$

Clearly $N(4,1) = 1, N(4,3) = 4$.

In order to determine the numbers S_{4q} and P_{4q} we first check which of the semigroups G_{ij} are symmetric resp. pseudo-symmetric. This is done by considering their genus and Frobenius number.

G_{ij} is obtained from H_{4q} by closing $q'+i+j+2$ of its gaps, hence G_{ij} has genus

$$g(G_{ij}) = \frac{3}{2}(q-1) - (q'+i+j+2) = 2q' - (i+j+2).$$

The parallel $4(X+1)+q(Y+1) = 3q+4$ to g_0 through $(0,2)$ passes also through $(\frac{q}{4},1)$ and cuts the X-axis at $(\frac{q}{2},0)$. If $j \geq \lfloor\frac{q}{4}\rfloor$, then by [KW], 3.1 the point $(0,2)$ corresponds to the Frobenius number of G_{ij}, hence

$$F(G_{ij}) = 3(q-1) - 1 - 2q = q - 4 = 2q' - 3$$

and

$$2g(G_{ij}) - F(G_{ij}) - 1 = 2(q' - i - j - 1),$$

an even number. Therefore G_{ij} is not pseudo-symmetric, and symmetric if and only if $j = q' - i - 1$. Furthermore $q' - i - 1 \geq \lfloor \frac{q}{4} \rfloor$ by (4). Thus $\lfloor \frac{q-1}{6} \rfloor + 1$ of the G_{ij} are symmetric for $q \not\equiv 1 \bmod 6$, and $\lfloor \frac{q-1}{6} \rfloor$ are symmetric for $q \equiv 1 \bmod 6$. In case $j < \lfloor \frac{q}{4} \rfloor$ the point $(j+1, 1)$ corresponds to $F(G_{ij})$, hence

$$F(G_{ij}) = 3(q-1) - 1 - 4(j+1) - q = 4q' - 4j - 6$$

and

$$2g(G_{ij}) - F(G_{ij}) - 1 = 2j - 2i + 1.$$

In this case G_{ij} is not symmetric, and pseudo-symmetric only for $j = i$. Since $j \geq 2i$ this implies $i = j = 0$.

According to [KW] 2.8, of the semigroups $\tilde{G}_{ij}$ corresponding to lattice paths in the rectangle $\mathbf{R}$ exactly q' are symmetric and just one is pseudo-symmetric. Altogether for $q \geq 7$

$$S_{4q} = \begin{cases} \lfloor \frac{q-1}{6} \rfloor + \frac{q-1}{2} & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \bmod 6 \\ \lfloor \frac{q-1}{6} \rfloor + \frac{q-1}{2} + 1 & \text{if } q \not\equiv 1 \bmod 6 \end{cases}$$

and $P_{4q} = 2$. Thus

$$\mathrm{Sym}(4,q) = \mathrm{Sym}(4,q-4) + 1 + \begin{cases} \lfloor \frac{q-1}{6} \rfloor + \frac{q-1}{2} & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \bmod 6 \\ \lfloor \frac{q-1}{6} \rfloor + \frac{q-1}{2} + 1 & \text{if } q \not\equiv 1 \bmod 6 \end{cases}$$

$$\mathrm{Psym}(4,q) = \mathrm{Psym}(4,q-4) + 2.$$

It is easy to see that $\mathrm{Sym}(4,3) = 3, \mathrm{Sym}(4,5) = 5$, $\mathrm{Psym}(4,3) = 1, \mathrm{Psym}(4,5) = 2$.

q	$N(4,q)$	$\mathrm{Medim}(4,q)$	$\mathrm{Sym}(4,q)$	$\mathrm{Psym}(4,q)$
1	1	0	1	0
3	4	0	3	1
5	9	1	5	2
7	17	4	8	3
9	29	9	11	4
11	45	17	15	5
13	66	29	19	6
15	93	45	25	7

6.2. Proposition. $\lim_{q\to\infty} \frac{N(4,q)}{q^3} = \lim_{q\to\infty} \frac{\mathrm{Medim}(4,q)}{q^3} = \frac{1}{72}$.

Proof. We know by 5.2 that the limits exists and are equal. Therefore it is enough to consider q of the form $q = 4m+1$ ($m > 0$). By formula (5) we obtain for $q \geq 5$

$$N(4,q) - N(4,q-4) = \frac{1}{8}(q^2 + 4q + 3) + (\lfloor \frac{q-1}{6} \rfloor + 1)(\frac{q-1}{2} - \frac{3}{2}\lfloor \frac{q-1}{6} \rfloor)$$

$$= \frac{8}{3}m^2 + g(m)$$

with a quasi-polynomial g of degree $1, g(0) = 1$. Therefore with the sum operator Σ

$$N(4,q) = \frac{8}{3}\sum_{j=1}^{m} j^2 + (\Sigma g)(m).$$

By Fibonacci's formula (Liber abaci 1202) $\sum_{j=1}^{m} j^2 = \frac{1}{6}m(m+1)(2m+1)$ this implies

$$N(4,q) = \frac{8}{9}m^3 + h(m) = \frac{1}{72}(q-1)^3 + h(m)$$

with a quasi-polynomial h of degree 2, and the assertion about the limits follows. □

$N(4,q)$ and $N(5,q)$ have been explicitly computed by H. Knebl (see [HW], Example 4.3).

References

[A] R.Apéry. Sur les branches superlinéaires des courbes algebriques. C.R.Acad. Sci. Paris 222, 1198-1200 (1946)

[BGP] V. Blanco, P. A. García-Sánchez, J. Puerto. Counting numerical semigroups with short generating functions. Intern. Journ. of Algebra and Computation 21 (2011) 1-18

[HW] M. Hellus, R. Waldi. On the number of numerical semigroups containing two coprime integers p and q.

[Ka] N. Kaplan, Counting numerical semigroups by genus and some cases of a question of Wilf. Journ. Pure and Appl. Algebra 216 (2012) 1016-1032

[KKW] H. Knebl, E. Kunz, R. Waldi. Weierstraß semigroups and nodal curves of type p,q. Journal of Algebra 348 (2011) 315-335

[Ku] E. Kunz. Über die Klassifikation numerischer Halbgruppen. Regensburger mathematische Schriften 11. Regensburg 1987. Available at http://www-nw.uni-regensburg.de/kue22107.mathematik.uni-regensburg.de/index.htm

[KW] E. Kunz, R. Waldi. Geometrical illustration of numerical semigroups and of some of their invariants. To appear in Semigroup Forum. DOI:10.1007/s00233-014-9599-7 (June 2014)

[RG] J. C. Rosales, P. A. García-Sánchez. Numerical Semigroups. Springer 2009

[RGGB] J. C. Rosales, P. A. García-Sánchez, J. I. García-García, M. B. Branco. Systems of inequalities and numerical semigroups. J. London Math. Soc. 65 (2002) 611-623

[S1] R. P. Stanley. Combinatorics and Commutative Algebra. Progress in Math. 41. Birkhäuser Boston-Basel-Stuttgart 1983

[S2] —- Enumerative Combinatorics, Vol.1 (sec. edition). Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 49. Cambridge University Press 2012